INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	Page
HATTORI, Takushiro (resumed)	28717
Cross (cont'd) by Colonel Ivanov	28717
(Witness excused)	28721
FUHA, Hiroshi	28722
Direct by Mr. Warren	28722
Cross by Colonel Mornane	28727
(Witness excused)	28734
KAWABE, Torashiro (recalled)	28738
Direct by Mr. Warren	28738
(Witness excused)	28747
MORNING RECESS	28747
TATSUMI, Yeiichi	28750
Direct by Mr. Warren	28750
(Witness excused)	28755
OBATA, Minoru	28767
Direct by Mr. Harris	28767
Cross by Mr. Tavenner	28773
NOON RECESS	28776

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

(cont'd)

Defense' Witnesses	Page
OBATA, Minoru (cont'd)	
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Tavenner	28777
Redirect by Mr. Harris	28778
Questions by the President of the Tribunal, Sir William Webb	28778
Redirect (cont'd) by Mr. Harris	28781
(Witness excused)	28781
OGAWA, Kiichi	28781
Direct by Mr. Harris	28781
(Witness excused)	28786
SUZUKI, Takashi	28787
Direct by Mr. Harris	28787
(Witness excused)	28789
HASHIMOTO, Kingoro	28790
Direct by Mr. HAYASHI	28790
Cross by Mr. ITO Cross by Mr. Tavenner	28799 28802
AFTERNOON RECESS	28807
Cross (cont'd) by Mr. Tavenner	28808

INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Pros. Description Ident.	In Evidence
No.	No.		No State of the
2232	3186	Affidavit of FUHA, Hiroshi	28722
2054	3187	Affidavit of SANADA, Joichiro	28734
2052	3108	Affidavit of KANABE. Torashiro	28741
2489	3189	Affidavit of TAISUMY, Yelicki	28750
2498	3190	List of HACHIMOTO, Kingoro's	28734
22981	B 3190-A	List of HASHIMCTO, Kingoro's	28764
2499	3191	Excert from Prosecution Exhibit No. 734-4 - The Special Secret Report from Turkey No. 5, dated 15 November 1929: Situ tion in the Caucasi and its Strategic use for the purpose of Sabotage Acti.itles - Sender: HASFIMOTO, Kingoro, Artillery Major to: The Assistant-chief of the Army Jeneral Staff OKAMOTO, Renichiro	2
136	1 _192	Affidavit of OBATA, Mino u	
48		Affidavit of OGAWA, Kiichi	28782
1100		Affidavit of SUZUKI, Takas	hi 28787
213	0 3194		
223	1 3195	Affidavi's of HASHIMOTO, Kingoro	28790
			The state of the s

Thursday, 18 September 1947

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
FOR THE FAR EAST
Court House of the Tribunal
War Ministry Building
Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment, at 0930.

Appearances:

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE R. B. PAL, Member from India and HONORABLE JUSTICE I. M. ZARYANOV, Member from the U. S. S. R., not sitting from 0930 to 1600; HONORABLE JUSTICE E. H. NORTHCROFT, Member from the Dominion of New Zealand, not sitting from 1330 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before.

For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The In rnational
Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.
THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Ivanov.

TAKUSHIRO HATTORI, called as a
witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the
stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY COLONEL IVANOV (Continued):

Q Mr. Witness, did the machine gun units, mortar units and some other such units of the 5th army dispatched to the Nomonhan area, as you say on page 2, paragraph 2 of the English text of your affidavit, take part in the operations

A They did.

Q Did the commander of the Kwantung Army give orders concerning the dispatch of troops to the Nomon-han area to General DOHIHARA or directly to the commanders of the dispatched units?

A The orders were issued to General DOHIHARA.

Q Will you clarify, Mr. Witness, whether the 5th army was stationed in the border area of East Manchuria for the purpose of operations against Soviet Maritime Province?

3

6

7

9

10

12

14

16

18

19

21

23 24

25

A The 5th army was in charge of the defense of Manchuria's eastern borders for the defense of Manchuria.

Q Did the Kwantung Army staff inform Commanding General of the 5th Army DOHIHARA of the tasks of this army as set forth in the operation plan of a war against the USSR for 1939?

A May I have the question repeated, please?

(Whereupon, the last question was read by the Japanese court reporter.)

A As far as operational plans were concerned, I believe that a draft was shown by the commander of the Kwantung Army.

Q But General DOHIHARA knew of the battle task set to his army in case of war against the Soviet Union, didn't he?

A General DOHIHARA knew of the operational plans in the contingency of a war -- in the event a war occurred -- so far as those plans concerned the 5th army.

Q Did you as a staff officer of the Kwantung
Army know of the contents of the operation plan of a
war against the USSR in 1939 and of the tasks allotted
in this plan to the 5th army?

A Yes, I did know.

Q Did not the operation plan of a war against the USSR for 1939 provide for the operations aimed at the seizure of the Soviet Maritime Province and was not the 5th army to take part in these operations?

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, we would like to object to this line of questioning. It appears to be just outside the scope of the direct examination. We were referring only to the Nomonhan Incident, and then it is also dealing in facts that did not occur or things that did not happen.

counsel. In his affidavit the witness contended on two occasions that the primary function of the 5th army under DOHIHARA's command was defense. This may be seen on page 1 of the affidavit and in the second paragraph of the second page of the affidavit; but this contention of the witness contradicts prosecution exhibits 834, 703 and 705 which elucidate the contents of the offensive operation plan against the USSR of 1939 and 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection over ruled.

Q Will you answer my question?

A Among the duties of the commanders of the various armies under the control of the Kwantung Army,

10

11

13

15

17

18

21

the following distinction can be made: those in time of peace and those in time of war.

We are interested in the duties of the commander of the 5th army in case of war against the Soviet Union. He was preparing for the discharge of these duties in peacetime.

The wartime duties of a commander are not given to him until after the actual outbreak of war.

Mr. Witness, you haven't answered my question. Please say yes or no.

MR. WARREN: I object to requiring a witness 12 to say yes or no, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: I direct him to say yes or 14 no and add any explanation that is necessary.

I repeat my question. Wasn't it the task of the 5th army to seize Soviet Maritime Province in accordance with the plan of war of 1939?

RUSSIAN MONITOR: Correction, please: To take part in the operations provided for by the plan of 1939 for the seizure of the Soviet Maritime Province?

No, that is not so. The primary duty of the 5th army was defense -- always defense. Therefore, the first concern of the 5th army and the first action of the 5th army would be defense; but, of course, in considering the question of defense there is always

the possibility of taking an offensive defense. 1 But the offensive operation directed at the 2 seizure of the Soviet Maritime Province was contem-3 4 plated in that plan? 5 The offensive action itself stemmed from 6 the thought of an offensive defense. 7 Mr. Witness, weren't you yourself engaged 8 in working out plans of war against the USSR when you 9 were a staff officer of the Kwantung Army and when you 10 worked in the Japanese Army General Staff? 11 I was not in charge of war plans; however, 12 I did have to do with strategic or operational plans. 13 COLONEL IVANOV: I invite the attention of the 14 Tribunal to prosecution exhibits 834, 838, 703 and 705 15 in which is given the contents of offensive plans of 16 war against the Soviet Union in 1939-1940. 17 THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren. 18 MR. WARREN: We have no further questions. 19 May the witness step down, your Honor? 20 THE PRESIDENT: He is released on the usual 21 22 terms. 23 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. WARREN: May we call as our next witness

25

FUHA, Hiroshi.

1	HIROSHI FUHA, called as a witness on
2	behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
3	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	MR. WARREN: May the witness be handed
6	defense document 2232?
7	(Whereupon, a document was handed to
8	the witness.)
9	BY MR. WARREN:
10	Q Will you state your name and present address
11	to the Tribunal, please?
12	A My name is TUHA, Hiroshi. My address is
13	1438 2-chome, Setagaya, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo.
14	Q Mr. FUHA, will you look at the document in
15	your hand and tell the Court whether it is your affi-
16	davit and whether the contents thereof are true?
18	A This is my affidavit and it is true and
19	correct.
20	MR. WARREN: At this time we offer in
21	evidence defense document 2232.
22	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

23

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit 3186 and

will receive exhibit No. 3186.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2232

received in evidence.)

MR. WARREN (reading): "My name is FUHA,
Hiroshi. During the recent war I held many positions
as Staff Officer of various armies. I was appointed
Staff Officer of the 15th Army on January 19, 1943,
Staff Officer of the Burma Area Army on March 18, 1943,
Staff Officer of the 7th Area Army on July 14, 1944,
Staff Officer of the 1st General Army on April 6, 1945,
and Staff Officer of the 12th Area Army on June 15,
1945.

"I have been asked what I know concerning the organization, command responsibility and command channels, especially of the 7th Area Army, with which army I served as Staff Officer from July, 1944 until April 1945. The 7th Area Army was organized April 15, 1944, under the Southern General Army, and its first Commander-in-Chief was the accused DOHIHARA, Kenji, who held this post from April 1944 until April 1945, when the command was taken over by General ITAGAKI, who held the post from April 1945 until August 1945. The command jurisdiction of the 7th Area Army was Malaya, Sumatra, Java and British Borneo. Borneo was divided into a British area and a Dutch area. In the beginning the army was charged with the preservation of peace in the British area and the Navy was charged with that in

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

the Dutch area. Consequently the Army had no jurisdiction whatsoever over the Dutch area.

"The Borneo garrison, a component of the 7th Army, was the unit directly responsible. However, repeated attacks in New Guinea by allied forces gave cause for increasing alarm and, in fact, they reconquered most parts of New Guinea. The need for general reorganization became of immediate urgency and the Borneo garrison was, in August, 1944 reorganized into the 37th Army and at the same time was withdrawn from the command of the 7th Area Army and placed under the direct command of the Southern Army. Consequently, the commander of the 7th Area Army had no further responsibility and no direct command whatsoever over its operations and actions. This move resulted, of coase, in the removal of all things relating to British Borneo from the command of the 7th Area Army as of Lugust 1944.

20

21

23

24

1

2

8

9

10

12

13

11

14

15

16

18

19 20

22

21

25 24

25

"Moreover, at no time was any prisoner of war camp located within the territorial jurisdiction of the 7th Area Army ever under its control. They were always under the direct control of the Southern Army, which was a superior command to that of the 7th Area Army. The Commander-in-Chief of the Southern Army, Marshal TERAUCHI, controlled the administration of these camps and placed the responsibility for each camp directly upon the camp commander. Consequently, in areas such as the territorial jurisdiction covered by the 7th Area Army, the intermediate commanders had no responsibility whatsoever in respect to the administration of prisoners of war, and were not authorized to, and could not issue any order concerning them. Their only responsibility was a functional responsibility and not one of administration. Their duty was limited strictly to security; to guard the camps of prisoners of war, or take measures where necessary to safeguard them from enemy attack. They had no voice whatsoever in the administration of the camps or in the treatment of the prisoners of war. Perhaps I have not made myself clear in referring to intermediate commanders. In this particular instance I am speaking with reference to security channels only and have no reference whatsoever to command channels. In the

2

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

chain of security command there is first the commanderin-Chief of the Southern Army, the commander of the area army, and the commander of a subordinate army or garrison. The intermediate commander to whom I have reference would be the commander of the army and not the commander of the area army. In order to clarify this affidavit, I have prepared a chart showing command channels and security channels only. This chart, which I incorporate as a part of my affidavit, clearly depicts the two channels to which I have made reference. The situation then boils down to this proposition. Full responsibility for the administration and treatment of prisoners of war was under the direct command of the Commander-in-Chief of the Southern Army. Security of prisoner of war camps was the direct responsibility of the army or garrison commander, as the case might be. The area army commander had no responsibility or authority whatsoever. The Commanderin-Chief of the Southern Army, in addition to reserving to himself the direct administration thereof and the treatment of the prisoners of war, also reserved to his command ship transportation and naval units and army aerial units, including airfield service. These units and services, in addition to that of the prisoner of war camps, even if located within the territorial

1	jurisdiction covered by an area army, were not in any
2	manner subject to any command function of any kind
3	exercised by the commander of the area army."
4	You may cross-examine.
5	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane.
6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	BY COLONEL MORNANE:
8	Q Witness, what was your rank when you were
9	staff officer of the 7th Area Army?
10	A Lieutenant Colonel.
11	Q Where were the headquarters of the 7th Area
12	Army?
13	A At Singapore.
14	Q Now, do you remember, on the 23d of October,
15	1944, as a result of an agreement between the army
16	and navy authorities, that the command of the
17	Andaman and Nicobar Islands went over from the navy
18	to the 29th Army?
19	A I do remember.
20	Q And the 29th Army was under the 7th District
21	Army?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Now, at the same time, by a similar agreement,
24	the army became responsible for the whole of Bornest
25	A No, that did not happen.

1	Q While you were a staff officer of the 7th
2	Area Army, did not the army authorities take over from
3	the navy the defense of the whole of Borneo and the
4	Celebes?
5	A In August, 1944, the defense of Borneo came
6	under the direct jurisdiction of the Southern Army.
7	I know nothing of what happened after that.
8	I suggest to you that the 37th Army was not
9	formed until the 12th of October.
10	A According to my recollection, the 37th Army
11	was formed in August, 1944, and immediately came under
12	the direct jurisdiction of the Southern Army.
13	Q Now, in regard to the formation of the 7th
14	Area Army, I suggest to you that that was formed on
15	the 27th of March, and not the 15th of April, 1944;
16	is that correct?
1.7	A I still believe what I said in my affidavit
18	to be true.
19	Q That district army was formed before you
20	became a member of its staff?
21	Λ Yes.
22	Q And you are now relying on records you have
23	since seen as to the formation of that army?
24	A Yes.
25	

Have you seen any of those records within the

- 1	
1	last three months?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Where did you see them?
4	A I saw them at the headquarters of the 7th
5	Area Army in Singapore.
6	Q I ask you, have you seen any of those records
7	within the last three months?
8	A No, I have not.
9	Q And if the Central Liaison Office say that
10	army was formed on the 27th of March, you would not
11	be in a position to deny that, would you?
12	Λ No, I cannot.
13	THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Mornane, is there
14	any need to do more than to refer to your exhibits
15	in this?
16	COLONEL MORNANE: With regard to this, sir, we
17	have no exhibits. I am merely laying the foundation
18	for evidence in rebuttal.
19	THE PRESIDENT: The Central Lisison Office
20	documents are still to be tendered?
21	COLONEL MORNANE: That is so, sir.
22	Q Now, what are the normal functions of an
23	area army in relation to one of the a lies under its
24	command?

THE PRESIDENT: But you are speaking about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

prisoners of war only, are you? COLONEL MORNANE: No, sir; with regard to supplies, hospitalization, operational policy. Q Will you answer the question, please? A The area army commander has the power to give orders in relation to education, personnel, operations, supplies, and other matters, to the armies under his control. And the area army does not carry any troops apart from headquarter troops? Yes, it has no troops other than that. 11 Q And then the area army commander would be 13 directly responsible to the general army commander? 14 Yes. 15 THE PRESIDENT: You still hav the treatment 16 of captives in mind, I suppose, Colonel? Otherwise, 17 I cannot follow what you are driving at. 18 COLONEL MORNANE: That is so, sir. 19 What we say, sir, is this, that this is a most unusual setup that the witness has been describing. It is quite opposed to the ordinary setup. 22 THE PRESIDENT: That is sufficient.

Now, Witness, could you tell the Tribunal of any other case where the commander of the area army did not have responsibility for all military

20

21

23 24

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

installations in the armies under his command? MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, I should like to object to that question, because in the last paragraph of the affidavit the witness has answered the question, in which he states definitely that all area armies, that is, an area army; he refers broadly to other armies --COLONEL MORNANE: I want the name. MR. WARREN: Oh, you want the name? I am sorry. THE PRESIDENT: You had not completed your question? COLONEL MORNANE: I will re-ask the question, if the Tribunal pleases.

18 19

> 21 22

20

23

24

installations in the armies under his command? MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, I should like to object to that question, because in the last paragraph of the affidavit the witness has answered the question, in which he states definitely that all area armies, that is, an area army; he refers broadly to other armies --

COLONEL MORNANE: I want the name.

MR. WARREN: Oh, you want the name? I am sorry.

THE PRESIDENT: You had not completed your question?

COLONEL MORNANE: I will re-ask the question, if the Tribunal pleases.

atter same would build extend the amounts of their

24

Q Can you tell the Tribunal of any other cases where the commander of an army is directly responsible to the commander of the general army and not to the commander of the area army?

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I would like to object to that for the reason that the question is obviously based upon a set of circumstances not testified to here and which the witness did not testify to.

THE PRESIDENT: That limitation has never been intelligible to me and never will be.

The objection is overruled.

A I shall reply. The prisoner of war camps had a special chain of command, and I know of no other case which approximates the example of these prisoner of war camps.

Q Now, you were a staff officer in the Burna
Area Arny. I suggest to you that the prisoner of war
camp at Rangoon came directly under the command of
the Burna Area Army Commander. Is that not so?

A I believe that as far as administrative functions were concerned it was not under the direct command of the Burma Area Army, and that the case of the Burma Area Army was just the same as that of the 7th Area Army and other armies.

2

13

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

COLONEL MORNANE: I would refer the Tribunal on that point to the exhibit put in with respect to TAZUMI, Commander of the Rangoon Camp, at page 27,565 of the record. Q Now, Witness, I will read to you Article 3 of the Ordinance of Prisoner of War Camps of 23 December 1941:

"Prisoner of war camps shall be administered by a commander of an army or a commander of a garrison under the general supervision of the Minister of War."

Can you reconcile the practice adopted by the Southern Army Commander with regat to prisoners of war with that article?

A This provision applied to prisoner of war camps in the Japanese homeland, and when prisoner of war camps overseas are considered I believe it should be interpreted that the Commander of the Southern Army was the army commander referred to in Article 3.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, our next witness --

THE PRESIDENT: This witness is released on the usual terms.

25

21

22 23

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

20

22

24

colonel Mornane: I would refer the Tribunal on that point to the exhibit put in with respect to TAZUMI, Commander of the Rangoon Camp, at page 27,565 of the record.

Q Now, Witness, I will read to you Article 3 of the Ordinance of Prisoner of War Camps of 23 December 1941:

"Prisoner of war camps shall be administered by a commander of an army or a commander of a garrison under the general supervision of the Minister of War."

Can you reconcile the practice adopted by
the Southern Army Commander with regar to prisoners
of war with that article?

A This provision applied to prisoner of war camps in the Japanese homeland, and when prisoner of war camps overseas are considered I believe it should be interpreted that the Commander of the Southern Army was the army commander referred to in Article 3.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

!R. WARREN: If the Tribunal please, our next witness --

THE PRESIDENT: This witness is released on the usual terms.

MR. WARREN: Oh, pardon me. I am sorry, sir. 1 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 2 MR. WARREN: We had intended to use, as 3 appears on our order or proof, the witness SANADA 4 as our next witness. However, this witness was a 5 victim of the atom bomb and is periodically sick as 6 a result of it; and he lives in Hokkaido and started 7 on his way here according to information we have re-8 9 ceived. THE PRESIDENT: If necessary, we will take 10 him out of turn. 11 MR. WARREN: What I was going to suggest --12 I took the matter up with Mr. Tavenner, and he stated 13 they would waive cross-examination, and if the Tribunal 14 15 will permit me to read his affidavit at this time we 16 can dispose of the matter. 17 THE PRESIDENT: You have our permission. MR. WARREN: At this time we should like to 18 19 offer in evidence defense document No. 2054. 20 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 21 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2054 22 will receive exhibit No. 3187. 23 (Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 3187 and received in evidence.)

24

MR. WARREN (Reading): "My name is SANADA,
Joichiro, and I served as Chief of the Military
Section of the Military Affairs Bureau of the War
Ministry from February 5, 1941 (16th year of Showa)
to April 20, 1942 (17th year of Showa) and that
during my tenure of office I became thoroughly
familiar with the Supreme "ar Council, its functions
and operations, and desire to make the following
statement concerning the same.

body to the Emperor on important military affairs, but did not have the authority to independently advise the Emperor on such matters. In other words, it only advised or gave its opinion to the Emperor upon his request. Accordingly, when there was no draft of a subject for a consultation presented by the Emperor, there could be no official Supreme War Conference.

"The meeting of the Supreme War Council referred to in Appendix E of the Indictment as having been held on June 30, 1941, and which was attended by the accused DOHIHARA was not an official conference of that body because there was no draft of a subject by the Emperor; consequently there was no matter for consultation whatever and the members of the Supreme War Council were not called upon to make any sort of

decision.

"I have personal knowledge of what occurred at this meeting because the then Director of the Military Affairs Bureau, MUTO, Akira, was ill and I, as Chief of the Military Section, attended the meeting in his behalf.

"The meeting was called to order at 1:30

p. m. War Minister TOJO made an opening address and was followed by General SUGIYAMA, Chief of the General Staff, who spoke on the conditions and prospects of the war in Europe during the period of a week since the outbreak of hostilities between Germany and Soviet Russia, which had started June 22, 1941.

(Details were furnished by OKAMOTO, Seifuku, Chief of the Second Section). The meeting ended at 2:30 p. m.

"As stated above, in the meeting of that day there was only the explanation of the international situation created by the outbreak of war between Germany and Russia, and there was no statement of opinion whatever by the war councilors. There was no discussion at all concerning the Greater East Asia war; that is, the outbreak of a possible war between Japan and America.

"The names of the councilors and associates who attended the meeting are as follows:" -- and I

```
will omit reading those names.
1
      At this time may we have the witness
2
3
    KAWABE.
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
    correction I wish to make
```

TORASHIRO KAWABE, recalled as a witness on behalf of the defense, having previously been f sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters 3 & as follows: L THE PRESIDENT: You are still on your former 5 efi 6 oath. 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. WARREN: Q Will you state your name and present address 10 to the Tribunal, please? 11 May the witness be handed defense document 12 No. 2052? 13 (Whereupon, a document was handed 14 to the witness.) 15 THE MONITOR: Before that, the witness 16 replied, "My name is," and he was interrupted. 17 MR. WARREN: I am sorry. 18 A (Continuing) My name is KAWABE Torashiro; 19 my present address is Jindai Village, Kitayama-gun, 20 Tokyo-to. 21 22

Q Will you examine the document which you now hold and state to the Tribunal if that is your affiddavit and if the contents therein are true?

A This is my affidavit. However, there is a correction I wish to make.

0

23

24

Will you point the correction out, please?

2

The correction is towards the end of the document. As the document I have is not numbered,

4

I cannot give the page number.

Read your correction.

6 7

On page 5 of the English test, "The reason I dare say this is that finally, and just before the out-

8

break of the war, when I asked General DOHIHARA a few

9

questions concerning what appeared to me to be a strained and gloomy prospect, he did not have the

10 11

slightest idea whatsoever as to the import of my

12

questioning, and instead asked me what it was all about.

13

There is no correction in the English text.

14

15

THE MONITOR: There was no correction in the English tent. He was correcting the Japanese text.

16

17

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I do not know how he can correct one without correcting the other. Anything is possible. After all, the English is a trans-

18 19

lation, not an independent document, so we understand.

20

21

MR. WARREN: Our documents, your Honor, for the most part were translated into English, revised into presentable English, and then retranslated back

22 23

into Japanese, and probably there is an error between his original Japanese and this final translation.

24

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we have heard enough

for the time being.

BY MR. WARREN (Continued):

. Q With the correction that you have made, is the document true and correct as to contents?

A There is one other correction. Again there is no correction in the English text. It seems that in the Japanese text the words "he" and "me" were reversed.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I have gone over this repeatedly with these witnesses, and they have been told that there might be a difference of a word or two in the Japanese text which would not make any difference. Apparently, that is what he is talking about, I don't know.

Q Are there any more corrections?

A That is all. The remaining portions of the affidavit are true and correct.

MR. WARREN: I now offer in evidence defense document No. 2052, except the following extracts which I will describe and which, by agreement with the prosecution, we will not offer.

On page 1, in the middle of the second paragraph of the English copy, commencing with the words, "I observed that he administered," continuing through the balance of that page and through the entire

paragraph which ends about a third of the way down on page 2 and ends with the words, "force by arms against China."

On page 4 of the document, the second paragraph, commencing at the beginning of the paragraph with the words, "From my observations," we will delete the following two sentences which end in, "in civilian politics."

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms, subject to those deletions.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2052 will receive exhibit No. 3188.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3188 and received in evidence.)

acquainted with the accused DOHIHARA Kenji in 1934, at which time he was Chief of the Special Service Organ in Mukden, Manchuria. At that time I held the post of Staff Officer of the Kwantung Army. I held this post from August, 1934, until March, 1936. During this period my work often brought me in contact with General DOHIHARA and I became familiar with the methods he used in the administration of his official affairs and also became intimately acquainted with him

from a personal standpoint and learned his viewpoints with reference to the relationship of Japan and China.

"When General DOHIHARA was Inspector General of the Army Air Force, I served under him as Chief of the General Affairs Section of the Army Air Force. He was my immediate superior officer. During this period of time I became familiar with the duties and responsibilities of the Inspector General of the Army Air Force and desire to make the following observations with reference thereto:

12

10

11

4

5

6

7

8

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

1 "The Inspector General of the Army Air Force was under the direct command of the Emperor and was subordinate only to the Minister of War, insofar as his duties were concerned. The Inspector General of the Army Air Force was in charge of the technical education, which was his primary duty, and although the Chief of the Army Air Command Headquarters was subordinate to the Minister of War with reference to maintenance, supply, etc., neither of them had authority to partic-10 ipate or to advise in operational matters, and were 11 precluded from participating in the preparation or planning of any operational mission with reference to 13 armed forces in the field or in war. I have mentioned 14 the Inspector General of the Army Air Force and the Chief of the Army Air Force Command Headquarters, which were 16 two distinct posts. In order to clearly show General 17 DOHIHARA's position, I feel it best to explain the command relationship between these two posts, both of which General DOHIHARA held simultaneously. 20

"As Inspector General of the Army Air Force, which was concerned only with technical educational matters and had nothing to do with planning and operational matters, General DOHIHARA was under the direct command of the Emperor. As Chief of the Army Air Command Headquarters, the chain of command went from the Emperor

12

15

18

19

21

22

23 24

through the War Ministry, then to General DOHIHARA as Chief of the Army Air Command Headquarters. In this position he did have some duties with reference to Air Corps supply, but did not participate in planning and operational matters.

"At the time General DOHIHARA was Inspector General of Military Education, I did not serve under him, but because of the close connection which I formerly had with that office, I am fully acquainted with its operations and responsibilities. The Inspector General of Military Education was responsible for the administration of the various technical schools and Army Service Schools generally, and the educational matter of the Army, with the exception of technical matters pertaining to aviation. However, he had no authority, and as a matter of practice did not take part in any planning or operational matter, and had absolutely no voice in any decision with reference to planning and operation of the Army in the field.

"Because of my many experiences in the Army,
I am fully acquainted with the Supreme War Council, of
which General DOHIHARA was at one time a member. The
Supreme War Council is more of an honorary position than
an actual integral part of the Army Command, and was
simply a military inquiry organ, whose duty was to

respond to the Emperor's questions on important
military problems. It had little or no practical
authority and was, in fact, an organ which received
reports on general affairs and the current military
situation from various Army leaders in the central or
main commands.

""" I know of occasions where he was required
to deal with various civilian aircraft industry problems,
involving political significance."

THE PRESIDENT: That sentence begins "While he was Chief of the Air Command"..."

MR. WARREN: Yes, I am sorry.

quarters, I know of occasions where he was required to deal with various civilian aircraft industry problems, involving political significance. When these matters came up, he merely clarified the position of the Army, its objects and expectations and left all concrete measures entirely in the hands of the industrialists and refused to engage in politics with them. I have never known him to offer them a hand for the sake of political artifice. On the contrary, he remained aloof almost in the extreme.

"I have been asked if I knew anything of General DOHIHARA's views on the Pacific war. With

23

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

respect to this I wish to state that General DOHIHARA 2 assumed his post as Inspector General of the Army Air Forces and Chief of the Army Air Command Headquarters 4 six months prior to the outbreak of the Pacific war, 5 at which time, as previously stated, I was appointed 6 his Assistant as head of the General Affairs Section. 7 General DOHIHARA discussed the problems with me on 8 many occasions and from these discussions I know he 9 had scarcely any knowledge up to the outbreak of the war of the various essential problems of political and military significance in our country. The reason I dare 12 say this is that finally, and just before the outbreak 13 of the war, when I asked General DOHIHARA a few 14 questions concerning what appeared to me to be a strained and gloomy prospect, he did not have the slightest idea whatsoever as to the import of my questioning, and instead asked me what it was all about. After discussing the problems, he ordered me to get information from 18 the War Ministry and the General Staff Headquarters so that he would have the knowledge which we deemed necessary 21 to the discharge of his duties. 22

"I have also been asked about the decoration which General DOHIHARA received from Germany. This was received by General DOHIHARA during the time he was Inspector General of the Army Air Force, and as his

23 24

1 subordinate I was present at the time he received it. 2 As I recall, he was decorated with the Grand Cross 3 by the German Government. I knew personally that 4 General DOHIHARA had never undertaken any political action, or, for that matter, any action of any kind to strengthen or enlarge the triple alliance between Japan, Germany and Italy, and we were surprised that he received the medal. The only reason that we could attribute at that time for him having received the medal was due to the fact that he was the head of the Department. I distinctly remember that the General himself 11 uttered words of surprise upon receiving the decoration." 12 THE PRESIDENT: Will there be any cross-13 examination? You can let the witness go now if there 14 will not be, otherwise we will recess until 11 o'clock. 15 Do you intend to cross-examine, Judge Nyi? 16 JUDGE NYI: The prosecution does not desire to 17 cross-examine this witness, but we do want to make 18 19 certain references. THE PRESIDENT: The witness is ischarged on the usual terms. (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 22 THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen 24 25 minutes.

20

21

(Whercupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceed-ings were resumed as follows:) , 8

Kapleau & Knapp

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Nyi.

JUDGE NYI: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution desires to make certain references to part of the record with regard to the position of Supreme War Councilor and the reason for DOHIHARA's receiving the decorations from the German Government.

THE PRESIDENT: Just mention the exhibits and the page of the transcript if you have it, Judge.

JUDGE NYI: Yes. We invite the Tribunal's attention to exhibit 2246 on page 16,179 and exhibit 1272 on pages 11,352 to 53; exhibit 2247 on page 16,180. That is all.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: At this time, if the Tribunal please, we should like to have the witness TATSUMI, Eiichi.

	EIICHI TATSUMI, called as a witness on
	behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
	DIRECT EXAMINATION
]	BY MR. WARREN:
	Q Will you state your name and present address
19	to the Tribunal, please?
	A My name is TATSUMI, Eiichi. My address is
	Inasa, Taisha-machi, Hikawa-Gun, Shimane-ken.
	MR. WARREN: May the witness be handed de-
	fense document 2489?
	Q Will you look at that document and tell the
ij	Court whether or not it is your affidavit, and whether
	or not the contents are true?
	A They are true and correct.
	MR. WARREN: At this time we offer in evidence
	defense document No. 2489.
	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2489
	will receive exhibit No. 3189.
	(Whereupon, the document above
	referred to was marked defense exhibit No.
	3189 and received in evidence.)
	MR. WARREN (Reading): "I have been asked if
	I know anything about General DOHIHARA's attitude
	I Know anything about delicitat bourtain

towards prisoners of war during the time he was Com-1 manding General of the Eastern Area Army of Japan, which position he held from May, 1943 until March, 1944. I have also been asked if I know anything concerning the control of prisoners of war in the Tokyo area during that period of time. I served as Chief of Staff to General DOHIHARA for the entire period of time that he was in command of the Eastern Area Army 8 and consequently am familiar with his policies and with the system for the control of prisoners of war in

THE PRESIDENT: What was the witness' army rank?

BY MR. WARREN (Continued):

the Tokyo area."

Q Mr. TATSUMI, what was your army rank at the time you went out of the army?

A At the time of the conclusion of the war I was a lieutenant-general.

Q What is your present position?

A I am no profession at present.

MR. WARREN (Reading): "The main function of the Eastern Area Army was the defense of Tokyo and adjacent territory, which was by far the most important place of defense of Japan proper. As Commanding General of the Eastern Area Army General DOHIHARA had

2

4

5

6

7

9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

3

5

. 6

8

9

11

12

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

24 25 under his direct command several divisions, air defense units, hospitals and other special units. In short, there were literally hundreds of separate units under his command.

"With reference to the handling of prisoner of war detachments throughout the main islands of Japan and occupied territories, there was a special arrangement. There had been established for the purpose of dealing with the affairs of prisoners of war two separate bureaus, one known as the Prisoner of War Information Bureau, and the other as the Prisoner of War Control Bureau. The Chief of both of these units was the same person, and both organizations were set up directly under the Minister of War. All complaints received from foreign nations, and other outside sources, were routed to the Chief of the Prisoner of War Information Bureau just mentioned. In addition to these two bureaus, there was set up in the City of Tokyo an area office for the centralized control of prisoner of war detachments within the territorial jurisdiction of the Eastern Area Army. The main office was in the official chain of command under the Commanding General of the Eastern Area Army. Its branches and detachments numbered about twenty with a total of some 4,000 prisoners. The Prisoner of War

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Control Bureau and the Prisoner of War Information Bureau just referred to were in no manner or wise connected with the command of the Eastern Area Army, and the Commanding General of the Eastern Area Army had no control whatsoever over these two organizations. However, in accordance with the orders of the Minister of War, or upon his own initiative, the Chief of these two bureaus directed the Chiefs of the prisoner of war camps throughout Japan in regard to the control of the prisoners of war, and he or his subordinate officers often paid visits to the various branches and detachments scattered throughout the various parts of Japan for the purpose of observation and inspection or for the purpose of giving directions to them. As explained, the Commander of the Eastern Area Army, according to the official chain of command, was responsible for the control and administration of prisoners of war within his territorial jurisdiction. However, in actual practice the activities of the Prisoner of War Control Bureau and Prisoner of War Information Bureau operated to relieve the administrative responsibility for prisoners of war from him, which permitted him to devote much more time to the manifold duties which he had to perform.

"I know personally that during the time

25

28,754

1 2

3

5

6

9

10

11

13

15

17

3

18

20

21

23

25

General DOHIHARA was Commander of the Eastern Area
Army he never received a single complaint, either
from his superior officers or from his subordinate
officers, with reference to the mistreatment of
prisoners of war. I do not mean he did not receive
any reports on prisoners of war, because he did receive a monthly report on general conditions, but they
did not refer to the mistreatment of prisoners of war.

"I know that despite the many duties which General DOHIHARA was compelled to perform he was interested in the welfare of prisoners of war and would take occasion to visit the camps under his control. On one visit to the Naoetsu camp General DOHIHARA learned that the camp was not adequately provided with skilled medical care, and that in an emergency it had to depend upon securing the services of doctors from cities as far away as Shibata. Despite the fact that there were many units and detachments of the Japanese Army, and many civilian communities, as devoid of medical attention as this prisoner of war camp, General DOHIHARA managed to make special arrangements to provide the camp with medical care by army physicians stationed at the Base Hospital at Takata, only a short distance away.

"The policy with reference to the handling of

3

6

7

9

11

13

14

16

17

19

18

20

23

22

24

prisoners of war was forwarded to the Commander of the Eastern Area Army over the signature of the Minister of War for his compliance and was not formulated by such commanding officer."

You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Judge Hsiang.

JUDGE HSIANG: If it please the Tribunal, the prosecution is not going to cross-examine this witness, but desires to point out the following: the defendant DOIHARA in his capacity as the Commanding General of the Eastern District Army visited some of the prisoner of war camps under his jurisdiction in September and October 1943, and at one camp alone --

THE PRESIDENT: Just give us the page of the transcript and the exhibit number, if you have it.

JUDGE HSIANG: Reference in the Court record is as follows: page 16,258 and pages 14,270 to 80.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is released on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. WARREN: Will the Language Section refer
to my running commentary, the last entry?

At this time, with the Tribunal's kind permission, I should like to read from the record of the cross-examination of the witness Chish lm. This

camps in the Tokyo area, and adjacent territories, at the time the accused General DOHIHARA was Commander of the Eastern Area Army. To refresh the Tribunal's memory, I should like to state that this witness testified concerning the condition of the camps, lack of clothing, treatment of prisoners, etc. I should like to read commencing with the next to the last question on page 14,277 of the record for 3 January 1947, and continuing through the second answer on page 14,278 thereof.

THE PRESIDENT: Just refer to the pages of the transcript; do not read them.

MR. WARREN: Very well, sir. That is the substance, and the question which I would like to call attention to is the one which starts, "Well, I understood you to testify" and it ends with "I have no recollection."

At this time, if the Tribunal please, we have completed the presentation of our evidence on behalf of the accused DOIHARA.

THE PRESIDENT: That is the case for DOIHARA, as we say in the national court. Some question arose the other day about that.

MR. WARREN: No, your Honor. I have a few

more remarks to make before I rest my case.

THE PRESIDENT: After you close your case, you address on your evidence. You use the expression "I close my case" before you address, not afterwards. However, it may be different in America. We have your summation yet, Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I had not completed my statement and the Tribunal, had they let me finish, would have known that I had not rested. When I say "I rest," your Honor, I shall not attempt to put a further bit of testimony before the Tribunal.

If I made an error, it should not be charged up against my client.

difference in the formulas used in America and those used in the British Empire as far as I am familiar with the British Empire procedure. I don't know. In the British Empire you would say, "That is the case for the accused." I understand the equivalent expression in America is, "That concludes the presentation of my evidence." In either case no further evidence can be given except in the interest of justice and by the express direction of the Court.

I want to know just where we stand. There may be a

THE PRESIDENT: I am not suggesting any error.

Mr. Chief Counsel.

MR. KEENAN: In view of some previous remarks of the learned counsel, Mr. Warren, and in view of his statement that he has no more evidence to present, I ask respectfully the Court to instruct counsel either to proceed to present evidence in the form of witnesses or documents, if he has any, or to refer the Court to any part of the record, and to confine the defense of DOHIHARA to such orderly procedure as outlined in the Charter.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Warren.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, the red light stopped me. I hadn't completed my sentence. I would have said, had I been permitted to continue, that we had completed

the presentation of our evidence on behalf of the accused DOHIHARA in so far as witnesses and documents are concerned outside of General DOHIHARA. couldn't possibly mean that I had stopped my case. I didn't intend to. I intended to tell the Tribunal what I wanted to do concerning General DOHIHARA. wanted to tell the Tribunal that we had not prepared an affidavit on the part of the accused DOHIHARA, but that neither his counsel nor myself has anything which they desire to withhold from the Tribunal, and that if any Member of the Tribunal desires him to take the stand, in accordance with the provisions of the Charter, he will answer for the Tribunal any question, under oath, which any Member propounds; and he will not take the witness stand unless called by the Court or any Member thereof. We do not offer him ourselves as a witness.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Chief Counsel.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, I object to the statement made by the learned defense counsel to the effect -- I haven't the exact phraseology -- that he has no desire to withhold anything from the Court. I ask that if they intend to put a witness on the stand, he be called by them as their witness.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has said all it proposes to say about this matter for the time being.

24

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, inasmuch as this is a matter which may arise at a later time and it affects the rights of these accused, may I point out to the Tribunal why I am taking this course of action, which I have a right to do?

of such a statement to the Tribunal would be to get their advice, which we do not give, or their direction, which we shall give only when the necessity arises. We have said all we propose to say about this matter. That is all I am authorized to say for the time being.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, at the risk of incurring the Tribunal's displeasure, at this time, in the interest of my client, I must insist I be heard further, because I am not asking for advice. I did that three weeks ago and your answer at that time was that you would deal with it at a later time when it arose. This is the time the question has arisen.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has decided not to hear you at this stage on this matter. In your summing up you can give any explanation you think fit in this regard.

It would be most unusual in any court with which we are acquainted to allow you to make an explanation of this kind at this stage. In your summing up you

would have liberty to do so. It is quite usual in our courts, at all events, for counsel addressing the jury at the conclusion of the case to give any explana-tion he sees fit as to not calling the accused.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, this Tribunal may give the prosecution an opportunity to comment on the failure of the accused to answer any questions. I want the record to show that the accused has offered himself to this Tribunal for questioning within the terms of the Charter and has not refused to answer anything. And we will not rest our case at this time, if your Honor please, unless it is subject, of course, to reopening.

THE PRESIDENT: The accused and his counsel have the responsibility for deciding whether the accused will go into the box. Whether that is shared or not is another matter, but certainly it rests on the accused and his counsel to make that decision.

MR. KEENAN: Mr. President, the prosecution objects to this record registering any statement that is not in accordance with the fact. The statement has been made that DOHIHARA has been offered as a witness. We challenge the accuracy and truthfulness of that statement.

THE PRESIDENT: The debate is closed, but we will hear you if you propose something new, Colonel Warren.

Mr. WARREN: I should like to say this, your Honor: that under the provisions of the

24

Charter, which I had before me just a minute ago, this Tribunal has the right to call and question the accused. We merely stated at this time that the Tribunal could do so and we would not refuse to answer a single question.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal knows what is in the Charter. There is no need for you to remind us of that. The debate is closed. I cannot hear you further without the express permission of the Tribunal.

MR. WARREN: Then I ask for it, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: No Member of the Tribunal has intimated to me that he wants to hear you further.

MR. WARREN: Your Honor, I have asked for a ruling, not an intimation.

THE PRESIDENT: You have the ruling. You will not be heard further.

MR. WARREN: If that is the ruling, then I take exception.

At this time, your Honor, we will pass the case to the next man. We will not voluntarily rest our case at this time because of the attitude of the Tribunal. It has not answered the question, in our opinion, which must be answered before we

*

will say we will not put the accused on the witness 1 stand. THE PRESIDENT: We refer to the tran-

Commander Harris.

MR. HARRIS: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal, the defendant HASHIMOTO, Kingoro now desires to submit to this Tribunal, through witnesses, documents and his own testimony, further evidence intended to disprove the charges alleged against him in the Indictment. Since this evidence is quite brief, we are dispensing with a formal opening statement.

I now offer in evidence defense document 2498A and 2498B, two charts. The former --THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COULT: Defense document 2498A will receive exhibit No. 3190. Defense document 2498B will receive exhibit No. 3190A.

(Whereupon, document No. 24984 and 2498B were marked defense exhibit Nos. 3190 and 3190A, respectively, and received in evidence.)

Mi. HARRIS: I do not desire to read

3 4

script.

5

6

8

9

10 11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

exhibits 3190 and 3190A at the present.

Prosecution's exhibit No. 734 was marked for identification at page 7,647 of the record. Prosecution exhibit No. 734A, which was read into evidence on the same page of the record and which is an excerpt from the above exhibit, explains only in part the position of the defendant HASHIMOTO. In order to complete the picture, I now offer in evidence defense document No. 2499 which is a further excerpt from exhibit No. 734.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2499

will receive exhibit No. 3191.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3191 and received in evidence.)

MR. HARRIS: Reading from exhibit 3191, I quote from page 2 of the record:

"Now in relation to how adventage can be taken of these countries strategically, the following points should be borne in mind subject to change, of course, with the then existing world situation.

"We must recognize that England after her expedition into the Caucasus following the Russian revolution, is greatly desirous of holding on to

Bakuh's oil. And it is necessary to take advantage of this fact. However, in the event of Russo-Japanese trouble she cannot very well make any movement in the Caucasus against Russia without sufficient reason. But it is possible for England and Japan to jointly act and encourage both Turkey and Persia's craving for territory in the 'nucasus and use these two countries as tools to work upon the Musuliman state in the Caucasus.

"In any event, it is most essential to continue to maintain good relations with England in case trouble should arise between Russia and Japan."

With the exception of my comparing at the

1	I shall first call the witness OBATA,
2	Minoru whose testimony is contained in defense docu-
3	ment 1361.
4	CLOSE OF THE COURT - ACTUAL COLUMN
5	MINORU OBATA, called as a witness on
6	behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
7	testified through Japanese interpreters as
8	follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. HARRIS:
11	Q Please state your name and address.
12	. onems Minerus my address, 260
13	
14	Yanagigaura-machi, Usa-Gun, Oita-Ken.
15	MR. HARLIS: May the witness be shown de-
16	fense document 1361?
17	(Whereupon, a document was handed
18	to the witness.)
19	Q Please examine that document and state
20	whether it is your affidavit signed and sworn to
21	by you.
22	A It is undoubtedly mine.
23	Q Is it true and correct?
24	A With the exception of my occupation, it is.

My occupation now is farmer.

Mi. HARRIS: I offer in evidence, as cor-

rected, defense document 1361. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1361 will receive exhibit No. 3192. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3192 and received in evidence.)

rected, defense document 1361. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1361 will receive exhibit No. 3192. (Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 3192 and received in evidence.)

"MR. HARRIS: I now read exhibit 3192:

"At the time of the termination of the war I was a colonel in the army. Now I am taking charge of ships engaged in repatriation work.

"I know Colonel HASHIMOTO, Kingoro, very well.

"In August 1937, when Colonel HASHIMOTO was called up by the army and became the regimental commander of the 13th Field Heavy Artillery Regiment, I was then appointed to be a battalion commander under his command. From that time till he returned to Japan in April 1939 from Hsiushui (China) I was always with him.

"When he arrived at the point about 8 miles (3 KI) west of Wuhu on December 11, 1937, Colonel HASHIMOTO received the following order from Commanding General YANAGAWA and thereupon returned to Wuhu immediately:

"'Urit Commander HASHIMOTO shall command
his regiment together with one field artillery battalion and one infantry battalion and attack at the
point near Wuhu ships which are carrying Chinese
soldiers and sailing up on the Yangtse:'

"This order came about 2 A. M.
"Colonel HASHIMOTO's order then to me was,

2

3

5

7 8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

20

21

22

23

'Major OBATA shall command his own unit together with one artillery battalion and occupy a position at the wharf of Wuhu and attack fleeing Chinese fleet.'

This order came about 5 A. M.

"By order of Colonel HASHIMOTO, Lieutenant NAKAMURA was to advance to a point about 2,000 meters downstream and to wave a handberchief whenever he saw the fleeing enemy ships by telescope. When I saw the handkerchief waved by Lieutenant NAKAMURA I was to fire upon these ships.

"It was still before dawn and not yet light:
Lieutenant NAKAMURA waved the handkerchief. I saw
through the telescope a fleet of 5 or 6 ships all
gathered and anchored about 50 meters apart. I instantly began firing upon this fleet. The distance
was about 4,000 meters.

"Even after dawn it was very difficult to see the ships clearly because of deep fog on that day, which was very typical on the Yangtse. I could only discern ships loaded with Chinese soldiers.

"When we fired twenty or thirty shells one of the ships put out a black smoke screen. After covering was completed by the smoke screen one ship sailed toward us.

"Seeing the ship coming toward us we thought

they were about to surrender, so we stopped the shelling upon them entirely. As the ship neared its hull became clear. When it came within 3,000 meters we first discovered then it was not a Chinese army ship. At first it was not the distance but the heavy fog which made it difficult for us to tell these were not Chinese Army ships.

"After ceasing fire and while waiting for the ship to reach the wharf, we then found out by its flag that it was a British ship and it had received two direct hits.

"A rear admiral wearing staff officer insignia, the captain of the ship, his executive officer, and one other officer came ashore and asked us to meet for negotiations.

"From our side Colonel HASIMOTO, Lieutenant NAKAMURA and I, together with one interpreter, took part in this negotiation. The first question they asked was why we had fired upon them. To this Colonel HASHIMOTO instantly replied: 'We fired upon the ships because they were loaded with Chinese soldiers.' Their next question was why we had fired on British ships. Colonel HASHIMOTO again instantly answered: 'Due to the heavy fog we were not able to see and tell they were British ships.'

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

"Since one death had resulted from the shelling, the Captain of the British ship asked us to attend the funeral service. We sent one representative to this funeral service. This was held at the public ha11.

"This British ship was the 'Ladybird!! Later I learned that the matter of the Ladybird incident was taken up through diplomatic negotiations, but as to its details I know nothing.

"Colonel HASHIMOTO and the HASHIMOTO forces had no connection whatsoever with the sinking of the American ship 'Panay.' We, the HASHIMOTO force, had never seen the 'Panay.'

The HASHIMOTO force was stopped at Wuhu, 14 or 15 'RI' (about 37 miles) from Nanking. Shortly after the fall of Nanking this force was ordered to advance to Hangchow and therefore never participated in the attack on Nanking nor did it enter into Nanking or its vicinity.

"The HASHIMOTO force did not attack Hankow nor did it enter Hankow or its vicinity."

"The HASIMOTO force did not attack Canton (Kuang Tung) nor did it enter Canton or its vicinity." You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner. CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAVENNER:

- Q Mr. OBATA, do I understand that the shelling of the "Ladybird" occurred about thirty-seven miles north of Nanking?
 - A Yes, you may.
 - Q What hour of the morning?
 - A I believe it was around nine o'clock.
- Q You have stated that Lieutenant NAKAMURA was posted two thousand yards down the river from your battery. What orders die you give him or were given him other than those mentioned in your affidavit? I should have stated "meters" instead of "yards."
- A No other orders were given him.
- Q Now, after assuming his post two thousand meters down the river, how far was he from the "Ladybird"?
- A About two thousand four hundred or five hundred meters.
- Q Now, Mr. OBATA, if you saw a handkerchief dropped by Lieutenant NAKAMURA two thousand yards away from you, was there anything to your knowledge which would have prevented him from discerning a

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

21

22

23

24

25

flag on a battleship or the hull of a battleship two thousand five hundred meters away from him?

- A The fog; there was a fog.
- Q Did Lieutenant NAKAMURA give you any report at the time he dropped the handkerchief or make any signal to indicate to you that this was a ship of a foreign power -- of a third power?
 - A No, he did not.
- Q Was not the reason for his failure to give you any such warning the fact that it was understood all the time that the ship of a foreign power would be fired upon if observed?
 - A That is not so.
- Q You said you had not given him any instructions other than those mentioned in your affidavit. Now I ask you what instructions did you receive about firing upon the ships of third powers?
- A I received no orders concerning the ships of third powers.
 - Q I did not understand the reply.

(Whereupon, the last answer was read by the official court reporter.)

Q (Continuing) Now, you referred in your affidavit to an order received by Colonel HASHIMOTO. Did you see the order?

A	Yes.
Q	In what form or how was that order re-
ceived,	by courier or how?
A	Orally.
Q	Orally?
A	Yes, orally.
Q	From whom?
A	Fron Colonel HASHIMOTO.
Q	But I understood you to say that you saw
the orde	er. If you saw it, it must have been in
writing.	
A	I take back what I said about seeing it.
Q	You state now you did not see the order?
A	Yes.
Q	Then, if Colonel HASHIMOTO received an
order ab	out firing upon vessels regardless of
	ity, he said nothing to you about that?
A	That is so.
Q	Do you know that an investigation was made
	THE INTERPRETER: Correction: Strike out
That is	so." "As you say."
Q	(Continuing) Do you know that an investi-
	A TON TO YOU MINOR OHIGO CHI THAGSOT-

NAKAYAMA, Yasuto, and did he talk to you about it?

A I do not know the name of NAKAYAMA, Yasuto.

Well, did anyone investigating this matter consult you? No one consulted me. THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn until half-past one. (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the permission of the

Tribunal the accused HOSHINO will be absent from the courtroom conferring with his counsel for the whole of

Mr. Tavenner.

this afternoon's session.

MINORU OBATA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAVENNER (Continued):

Q Mr. OBATA, I asked you a question before recess regarding the order described on page 1 of your affidavit as having been received by Colonel HASHIMOTO from General YANAGAWA. I want to make certain whether you saw that order or whether that order was a verbal order.

A The order was given verbally. Later I saw the order which a secretary had written down.

Q You mean to state that the order when received by Colonel HASHIMOTO was received by him verbally?

11

13

14

10

4

6

8

15

17

19 20

21

23

3

4

7

8

9

Reichers & Yelder

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the permission of the

Tribunal the accused HOSHINO will be absent from the courtroom conferring with his counsel for the whole of this afternoon's session.

Mr. Tavenner.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

10

MINORU OBATA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

Mr. OBATA, I asked you a question before re-

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. TAVENNER (Continued):

cess regarding the order described on page 1 of your

20

22

21

23 24

25

A The order was given verbally. Later I saw the order which a secretary had written down.

Q You mean to state that the order when received by Colonel HASHIMOTO was received by him verbally?

affidavit as having been received by Colonel HASHIMOTO

from General YANAGAWA. I want to make certain whether you

saw that order or whether that order was a verbal order.

1	A I do not know how he received this order
2	what were the circumstances in which he received this
3	order.
4	Q When you saw a copy of what was received at a
5	later date, did it say anything about firing upon
6	ships regardless of nationality?
7	A I did not see the order which came from
8	army commander YANAGAWA from the YANAGAWA army.
9	Q Therefore you know nothing about what it
10	contained as a matter of fact?
11	A That is so; I did not know.
12	MR. TAVENNER: Now, if the Tribunal please,
13	I would like to refer to two exhibits: exhibit 2188,
14	page 15,678 of the transcript, and exhibit 954-C,
15	page 9452 of the transcript.
16	There are no further questions, if your
17	Honor please.
18	MR. HARRIS: I should like to ask the
19	witness a few questions.
20	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. HARRIS:
22	Q What type and size of ship was the "Ladybird"
24	THE PRESIDENT: Before you proceed, Commander
25	Harris, this seems to me to be a question which should
-	

be put to the witness:

How, from a distance of 2,000 meters, did 1 he see Lieutenant MAKAMURA wave a handkerchief, it being still before dawn and not yet light? THE WITNESS: I saw it through a telescope--4 through binoculars. 5 THE PRESIDENT: But can you see that way in 6 the nighttime, that is, before dawn and not yet light? 7 Did the Japanese have any instrument of that nature? THE WITNESS: I saw him after it became 9 light. 10 THE PRESIDENT: That appears to contradict 11 your affidavit. You should have an opportunity to 12 explain that. 13 MR. HARRIS: Would you explain to the 14 Tribunal ---15 16 THE PRESIDENT: You say in paragraph 7 --I will read it as it is written in English: "It was 17 18 still before dawn and not yet light; Lieutenant 19 NAKAMURA waved the handkerchief. I saw through the 20 telescope" certain things. 21 THE WITNESS: If it is a distrace of about 22 2,000 meters the mist which was over the land was 23 comparatively lighter.

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: But it was before dawn and not yet light. Would you like us to refer to the

28,780

original Japanese?

THE WITNESS: No, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: You said that; you do not question that?

MR. HARRIS: May that be referred to the language section, your Honor? I believe the Japanese states that it was not completely light. I may be wrong but I have been told that is what the Japanese says.

THE PRESIDENT: We will refer it to Major Moore.

Now, there is another question on behalf of a Member of the Tribunal: Is General YANAGAWA still living?

THE WITNESS: I do not know.

THE PRESIDENT: Another Member of the Tribunal asks: As a flag of a ship is larger than a handkerchief, why was the handkerchief seen and not the flag?

THE WITNESS: The handkerchief was waved at a distance of 2,000 meters from where I was, whereas the ship was at least 4,000 meters away. Furthermore, the mist over the land was comparatively lighter than the mist over the water.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Commander Harris, proceed with your redirect examination.

10

1

2

12

14

16

17

18

20

19

21

23

	BY MR. HARRIS (Continued):
1	Q Will the witness please answer the question
2	which I had asked in relation to the type and size
3	of the ship?
5	A Although I do not remember well, according
6	to my recollection it was a gunboat.
7	MR. HARRIS: There are no further questions.
8	May the witness be released on the usual
9	terms?
10	THE PRESIDENT: He is released on the usual
11	terms.
12	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
13	MR. HARRIS: I next call the witness OGAWA,
14	KIICHI, whose testimony appears in defense document
15	488.
16	
17	KIICHI OGAWA, called as a witness on
18	behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn,
19	testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:
20	DIRECT EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. HARRIS:
22	Q Please state your name and address.
23	A My name is OGAWA, Kiichi; my address is:
24	Nipponsan, Myohoji Temple, Togo, Numazu City, Shizuoka
25	Prefecture.

	MR. HARRIS: May the witness be shown
do	Cense document 488?
ue.	
	Q Please examine that document and state
whe	ther it is your affidavit, signed and sworn to by
you	1.
	Witness, is that your affildavit?
	A Yes, it is.
	Q Is that your signature?
	A Yes.
	Q Are the contents true and correct?
	A Yes.
	MR. HARRIS: With the exception of that
por	tion of paragraph 15, line 5, beginning with the
wo	eds, "and are entirely," and continuing through the
	mainder of the paragraph, and also all of paragraph
	, I now offer in evidence defense document 488.
	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 488
w1.	Ll receive exhibit No. 3193.
	(Whereupon, the document above
	referred to was marked de ense exhibit
	No. 3193 and received in evidence.)

MR. HARRIS: I read exhibit 3193:

"l. At present I am a priest of the Nichi Ren Sect, living in Shizuoka Prefecture and also am engaged in the work of helping children orphaned by the war.

Seinento in April 1938. While HASHIMOTO, Kingoro, president of the society, was away on active military duty, I being an advisor to the society, acted for him as president pro temp. Upon his return in April 1939 I relinquished my post of advisor and became a councillor and remained so till the dissolution of the society in October 1940."

were to abolish the established parties and to accomplish domestic reform since the general public feeling at that time was that these parties and the Zaibatsu, in collusion, had selfishly appropriated to themselves the government to such extent that extreme corruption was rempant everywhere and the only ones whom the public could trust were the military and the judiciary. The Dainihon Seinento absolutely did not have aggressive war as its objective.

"4. The membership in the Dainihon Seinento fluctuated somewhat but it never reached 20,000. It

never included a single military man on the active list or a single influential government official.

"5. The expenses of the Dainihon Seinento were met by the ¥ 1 yearly membership fee and the ¥ 1 entrance fee paid in by each member of the society. The society never received any financial aid from the military or from any other source.

"6. The 'Taiyo Dai Nihon', which is the official news organ of the society, was not circulated outside of its membership.

"7. The Dainihon Sekiseikai, a thought organization, was formed upon the dissolution of the Dainihon Seinento out of a portion of the latter's membership.

"8. The Dainihon Sekiseikai had as its objectives the guidance of the thought of Japanese youth and the encouragement of increasing the rice production. This increase was to be based on the Oinoue system.

Aggressive war was absolutely no part of the society's objectives.

"9. The membership of the Dainihon Sekiseikai was about 5,000. It never included a single military man on the active list nor a single influential government official.

"10. The expenses of the Dainihon Sekiseikai

3

6

8

.

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

IRAA.

were met by the ¥ 1 yearly membership fee and the ¥ 2 entrance fee paid in by each member. The society never received any financial aid from the military or from any other source.

"11. The Dainihon Sekiseikai took over the management of the Official news organ of the Dainihon Seinento - the 'Taiyo Dai Nihon'. After the transfer of management to the Sekiseikai every effort was made to publish articles concerning increasing rice production. This also was not circulated outside the membership.

"12. I have not been connected with the IRAA."
IRYA is a mistake, your Honor. It should be

"13. In September 1944 at the time of the dissolution of the Dainihon Sekiseikai I joined the Imperial Rule Youth Association. Its membership never included a single military man on the active list, nor a single influential government official. I was at the time of my joining the association on the general affairs committee, and in November 1944 I became chief of the Guidance Branch.

"14. The activity of the IRYA was principal—
ly the encouragement of an increase in wheat production.
The activity of the IRYA was absolutely not directed

toward aggressive war.

"15. The books of HASHIMOTO, Kingoro 'Advice to Youth', 'The Second Creation', 'The Inevitable Renovation', 'How to Rebuild World', 'The Declaration of HASHIMOTO, Kingoro', and his essays in the
'Triyo Dai Nippon', were all written after he gave up
his military career and had become an ordinary civilien. . . .

"16. The above mentioned books are all reproductions of the essays appearing in the 'Taiyo Dai Nippon'."

You may cross-examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Colonel Woolworth.

MR. WOOLWORTH: If the Tribunal please, I would invite the Tribunal's attention to paragraph 8 of exhibit 3193; to exhibit 2188, which will be found in the record at pages 15,680-1.

There is no cross-examination of the witness.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is released on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. HARRIS: I wish now to call the witness

SUZUKI, Takhashi, whose testimony is contained in

defense document 2130.

8

6

7

2

3

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

21

25

24

1	TAKASHI SUZUKI, called as a witness
2	on behalf of the defense, being first duly
3	sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters
4	as follows:
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION
6	BY MR. HARRIS:
7	Q Please state your name and address.
8	A My name is SUZUKI, Takashi. My address,
9	45 Uye-machi, Nakano-ku, Tokyo.
10	MR. HARRIS: May the witness be shown
11	defense document 2130.
12	Q Please examine that document and state
13	whether it is your affidavit, signed and sworn to by
14	you. Witness, is that your affidavit?
15	Λ Yes, it is.
16	Q Is that your signature?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Are the contents true and correct?
19	A Yes.
20	MR. HARRIS: I offer in evidence defense
21	
22	document 2130.
23	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
25	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2130
2)	will receive exhibit No. 3194.

(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit
No. 3194 and received in evidence.)

MR. HARRIS: I read exhibit 3194.

"My last rank as an army officer was that of colonel.

"In August 1934 I sponsored a farewell party at Akebonoso Restaurant for Major General Hideki TOJO, who was to be transferred to become Commanding General of the Kurume Brigade.

"The sponsors of this party were GONDO,
Masanori, a section chief of the Military Academy,
AMANO, Isamu, an instructor at the Military Academy,
and I, then serving in the first section of the Army
General Staff.

"The ones who gathered at this party were selected only from among those officers who were serving either in the Army General Staff, War Ministry, Department of Military Training or Military Academy and were in the good graces of Major General TOJO. I did not send out an invitation to Mr. SHIMOTO, Kingoro, who was then attached to Mishima Regiment and who was not serving in any one of the abovementioned four groups.

"Further I was not aware of any particular friendly relationship between him and Major General

,

10

4

6

11

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

23

TOJO that would call for his being specially singled out for invitation. For these reasons he was not present at the party. "At no time other than at the above-mentioned 5 party did I ever act as sponsor of a party at the Akebonoso Restaurant." 7 You may cross-examine. 8 THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner. 9 MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, there 10 will be no cross-examination of this witness, but 11 reference is made to the prosecution evidence appearing 12 at page 2056 of the transcript. 13 MR. HARRIS: May the witness be released on 14 the usual terms? 15 THE PRESIDENT: He is released accordingly. 16 (Whereupon, the witness was excused.) 17 MR. HARRIS: Mr. HAYASHI will now continue 18 with the evidence. 19 THE PRESIDENT: Counsel HAYASHI. 20 MR. HAYASHI: I call as my first witness, 21 the defendant HASHIMOTO, Kingoro. 22

23

24

	KINGORO HASHIMOTO, an accused,
1	being first duly sworn, testified through
2	Japanese interpreters as follows:
3	DIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. HAYASHI:
5	Q I should like to ask the with as his name.
6	A HASHIMOTO, Kingoro.
7	MR. HAYASHI: I should like to have defense
8	document 2231 shown to the witness.
9	Q Is this your affidavit, to which you have
10	signed your signature?
11	
12	A Yes, as you say.
13	Q Are the contents true?
14	A Yes.
15	MR. HAYASHI: I offer in evidence defense
16	document 2231.
17	THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.
18	CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2231
19	will receive exhibit No. 3195.
20	(Whereupon, the document above
21	referred to was marked defense exhibit
22	No. 3195 and received in evidence.)
23	MR. HAYASHI: I shall read exhibit No. 3195.
24	"1. I commenced my army service when com-
25	
	missioned an artillery second lieutenant in December

7 8

1911. In August 1934 I was appointed artillery colonel and became commander of the second her field artillery regiment at Mishima. I was transferred to the reserve list in August 1936.

"2. I was again called up to the colors in August 1937 and dispatched to the north and to middle China as a heavy artillery regimental commander till in April 1939 I was again restored to the reserve list.

"3. Except for the above two periods of active duty I have never been in government service and have been at all other times a simple ordinary civilian.

"4. Neither has any official position which
I have occupied been sufficiently important to have
entitled me to take part in any planning, preparing
or conspiring in relation to the Manchurian Incident,
the China Incident or the Pacific War, for did I as
a matter of fact participate in any planning, preparing
or conspiring in regard to these incidents or war.

with any of the defendants. As a matter of fact, at the time of the so-called October Incident I was severely reprimanded by Lt. General ARAKI and confined by order of War Minister MINAMI.

"6. My publications 'Messages to Young Men,'
'The Inevitability of Renovation,' 'The Road to the

Reconstruction of the World, 'The Second Creation,' and essays which appeared in the magazine 'Taiyo Dai-Nippon' were all written and published when I was an ordinary civilian. In these publications and essays were described only my personal opinions as an ordinary civilian and they did not represent any plan or conspiracy worked out in cooperation with any other party.

"7. While a civilian I made some public speeches, but these conveyed only my personal convictions arrived at independently and not through any plan or conspiracy with any other persons.

"8. In September 1927, I was appointed
Military Attache to the Japanese Embassy in Turkey
and served there till January 1930. During that
period -- on 15 November 1929 -- I sent to Deputy Chief
of Staff OKAMOTO, Renichiro, a report bearing the title
of 'Situation in the Caucasus and Its Strategic
Utilization.' This report was made in the course of
the performance of a military attache's routine duty.
In this report the phrases 'Subject to change, of
course, with the then existing world situation' and 'in
case trouble should arise between Russia and Japan'
appear. These phrases should be interpreted to mean
that 'our attitude has to be altered in accordance

3

4

6

8

9

11

13

15

16

18

20

21

23

24

with changes in international relations' and 'if, unfortunately, a war should break out between Japan and Russia.'

"It is obvious not only from this report, but from an examination of any and all other evidence that I have never plotted, prepared or conspired with others to wage an aggressive war against Russia.

"9. In October 1930 I inaugurated with a view to national reform a study and discussion group called 'Sakurakai' or the 'Cherry Blossom Society' whose members consisted of officers under the rank of lieutenant colonel.

"'Sakurakai' or the 'Cherry Blossom Society'
was not a secret society, had no regulations and no
fees were charged. The society had no connection with
the Kwantung Army or its officers.

"Discussions were not held relative to the Manchurian Problem nor did the society have any connection with the Manchurian Incident.

"10. I did not form any research group on Manchu-Mongolia issues nor did I join or back incognito any such group. I have never even heard of the existence of such a group.

"11. In March 1931, I participated in the so-called March Incident plotted by Doctor OKAWA,

Shumei, with the aim to organize a cabinet headed by General UGAKI, Kazushige, for the cause of national reform, but the plan was not realized because of the General's disapproval.

"The incident was not linked with the Manchurian Incident.

8 9

"12. I thought up the so-called October Incident in October 1931 to bring about a cabinet. headed by Lieutenant General ARAKI for the purpose of national reform and urged him to accept. But, on the contrary, he severely reprimanded me and at the order of War Minister MINAMI I was arrested by the Military Police. After 25 days heavy confinement I was relegated to the position of a regimental officer of the Himeji Regiment. This incident had no connection whatsoever with the Manchurian Incident. It was first thought out in the course of a conversation with Captain CHO, Isamu in the beginning of October of the same year, after he returned to Tokyo from Peking. It never materialized. No civilians joined the October Incident. Mr. KOISO, Kuniaki was not related in any way to this incident.

"13. I have never had a chance to talk with Mr. TANAKA, Ryukichi concerning the Manchurian Incident.

"In August 1934 I was the commander of the heavy field artillery regiment at Michima City. I knew nothing of the meeting sponsored by SUZUKI, Takashi at the Akebonoso restaurant. I have never been there, nor do I know anything of its whereabouts.

"14. In April 1931 as chief of the Russian

23 24

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Staff at the meeting for 'Estimation of Situation'
I advanced the following opinion, to wit: That Army
General Headquarters should recommend that the government take a firm attitude toward settling the hundreds of pending questions in Manchuria. Other than, this, I had nothing to do with the Manchurian Incident or the founding of Manchukuo.

"15. At the time of the Marco-Polo Bridge Incident of July 1937, I was living in Tokyo as a reserve officer on inactive duty and had nothing to do with the incident.

"16. While I was at the front, pursuant to the order of Commanding General of the Army YANAGAWA, at Wuhu I fired at ships fleeing from Nanking which were carrying retreating Chinese soldiers. Unfortunately, on that occasion the British Gun Boat 'Lady Bird,' which was among the Chinese ships, was struck and an incident was created. But the fact that the shooting was by mistake due to the dense fog which made me take it for a Chinese ship was brought to light and I was set free from any responsibility.

"17. I have had nothing to do with the 'Panay Incident.'

"18. Neither I nor the forces under my

command have ever been at Nanking, Hankow or Canton.

I have neither ill-treated POW's, nor committed inhuman acts against any persons. Neither have I permitted others under my authority to do such acts. I have never been in a position wherein I could have performed such acts.

"19. After I left active service, I founded in October 1936, with a view to national reform, the Great Japan Youth Party of which no soldier on active duty nor any distinguished personages were members.

"The party was supported by 1 yen per capita entrance fee and 1 yen per capita annual membership fee paid in by a little less than 20,000 members. It was never subsidized by the army or from any other quarter. It did not aim at aggressive war.

"20. After the dissolution of the said party in Cotober 1940, I established the Great Japan Loyalty Society (Dai-Nippon Sekisei-kai), consisting of some of the members of the former. No soldiers on active duty nor any distinguished personages were among its members. This society was maintained by about 5,000 members' entrance fees at 2 yen per capita and an annual membership fee of 1 yen per capita and was not subsidized by the army or from any

4 5

other quarter. This society did not aim at aggressive war. It was dissolved in September 1944.

"21. 'Taiyo Dai-Nippon' was the official publication of the Great Japan Youth Party and then of the Great Japan Loyalty Society after the former's dissolution. Its circulation was limited to its members.

"22. In the fall of 1940, I was appointed a director of the Imperial Rule Assistance Association (TRAA), formed by KONOYE, Fumimaro, from which I resigned in February 1941. The said association's object was the practice of the 'Way of the Subject.'

It was not a body which was formed with aggressive war as its aim not did it work toward such an end.

"23. In September 1944, I was appointed headquarters director of the Imperial Rule Assistance Adult Association which was a part of the IRAA, but I resigned in February 1945. After joining this association my only work was the barley crop increase campaign. The association was not a body which was formed with aggressive war as its aim nor did it work toward such an end."

I should like to ask one additional question.

Q Is General YANAGAWA, Heisuke still living?

A No, he is dead.

IR. HAYASHI: You may cross-examine. 2 (Thereupon, Mr. ITO assumed the 3 lectern.) THE PRESIDENT: On behalf of whom? MR. ITO: On behalf of MATSUI, Iwane. CROSS-EXAMINATION 7 BY MR. ITO: 8 I should like to ask you a question concern-9 ing paragraph 8 of your affidavit. 10 According to exhibit 733 it is stated that in 11 1929 MATSUI attended a meeting of Japanese military 12 attaches in Berlin which you attended. Did you attend 13 such a meeting? 14 A Yes, I did. 15 Q At that meeting did you or MATSUI make any 16 plans, decisions against the U. S. S. R.? 17 A No decisions were made at that conference. 18 Q What was the object of that meeting? 19 A It was in April. The meeting was held in April, 1929. The Military Attache to the Embassy in 21 Berlin sent an invitation to the military attaches 22 in Europe saying that since Lieutenant General MATSUI, 23 the former head of the Second Department of the General 24 Staff Department, was coming to Europe, it would be a good idea to have a discussion meeting at Berlin. I

went to Berlin on that invitation. On the morning of the following day the discussion meeting was held, the friendly meeting was held. Lieutenant General MATSUI talked to us on the situation in the home island, while we talked to him about the situation in the countries in which we were stationed. That is all that transpired.

Then no particular measures were taken in regard to any plans against the U. S. S. R. or of any defense against the U.S.S. R.?

That goes without saying.

THE INTERPRETER: I repeat the question of the counsel:

Then nothing particular took place at that meeting with regard to any war plans against the U. S. S. R. or any conspiracy against the U. S. S. R.?

That goes without saying.

Then no documents were made regarding the decisions made at that meeting against Soviet Russia; am I right?

No, of course not.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: If the Tribunal please, I would like first to inquire whether there is any further direct or cross-examination of this witness

22 23

24

.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. TAVENNER:
	Q Colonel HASHIMOTO, what date was it in 1930
	when you assumed your position with the General Staff
	office in Tokyo?
	A It was in May, 1930, that I arrived back in
	Tokyo from Turkey.
	Q When did you assume your office?
,	A In May.
	Q How long did you hold your position con-
	tinuously from that date?
	A Until the end of November, 1931.
	Q What was your exact official title?
	A Chief of the Russian Section, General Staff
	Department.
	Q Briefly, what were your duties?
-	A To collect information regarding Russia.
-	Q Did that require you to be familiar with
	conditions in Manchuria?
	A As far as it concerned Russia, yes.
	Q Were you acquainted with the workings and
-	operations of the East Asia Economic Research Insti-
	tute?
	A I knew nothing about it.

Possibly, if I identify it this way, you may

recall: It is the institute of which Dr. OKAWA was the director. Does that refresh your recollection? Yes. 3 THE MONITOR: Strike out the "yes" just now. 4 A (Continuing) Of course, it was Dr. OKAWA's 5 institute. You knew that Dr. OKAWA was the director of 7 that institute? A Yes. 9 Q Were you well acquainted with Dr. OKAWA? 10 11 Q You held frequent meetings with him, did you 12 not? 13 I met him about ten times at various 14 restaurants. 16 At what time? 17 I became acquainted with him around the end of 1930, I believe. 19 And, your meetings with him continued over 20 what period of time? 21 Spasmodically. A 22 Over an extended period of time? 23 A I met him at restaurants. I neither met him 24 for a long period of time, nor a short period of time. 25

But, you met him spasmodically over how long

.

a period of time?

A In short, I met him spasmodically between the end of 1930, when I became acquainted with him, to the end of November, 1931, when I was transferred to Himeji.

1	Q As long as you held your official position
2	as Chief of the Russian Section of the General Staff
3	General War Office you continued to meet him.
4	THE MONITOR: "The end of 1930" should be
5	"the end of May, 1930."
6	THE PRESIDENT: You do not mean "General War
7	Office."
3	MR. TAVENNER: No, sir, I want to correct it
9	now. I would like to correct it to General Staff Offic
10	as I first started to state it.
11	A I was Chief of the Russian Section before I
12	became acquainted with OKAWA.
13	Q But, you met with him off and on while you
14	held that position; did you not?
15 16	A Yes, that is so.
17	Q Now, during that period of time was he en-
18	gaged in propaganda work throughout Japan?
19	A I do not know whether he was engaged in propa-
20	ganda work or not. I do know that he did make speeches
21	on several occasions.
22	Q On several occasions? Don't you know he made
23	hundreds of speeches throughout Japan over a period of
24	two years regarding the Manchurian situation?
25	A Since I have only heard his speech on one

occasion, I do not know how many hundreds of speeches

he may have made. Q Was Doctor OKAWA at that time engaged in formenting the people of Japan over the so-called Manchurian question? A I know nothing whatsoever about those things, Q Well, you talked to Doctor OKAWA on political subjects, didn't you? A I have never talked with him on political questions. I have only met with him in restaurants. 10 Q Well, is there any reason why you cannot speak 11 of politics in a restaurant? 12 No. 13 Q Well, did you speak concerning politics with 14 Doctor OKAWA? 15 A I have never talked with him on any specific 16 political questions to speak of. 1.7 Q Well, you say "to speak of". That is a com-18 paretive term. Will you tell us to what extent you did 19 speak to him about politics? 20 I have often talked with him on the question 21 of political corruption in Japan. 22 Q And you talked to him about fomenting a dis-23 turbance in Tokyo, did you not? A Yes, I did.

Q And you and he were engaged together in what

24

1	Is known as "The March 15th Incident"; isn't that true?
2	A Did you say "The March 15th Incident"?
3	Q Yes.
4	A Do you not mean, rather, "The March Incident"?
5	Q Well, let us call it the March Incident.
6	A Yes, I did participate.
7	Q Was the accused KOISO also engaged in that
8	Incident with you and Doctor OKAWA?
9	A The then Major General KOISO was concerned
10	with this matter. That is to say, I gave OKAWA some
11	bombs and he, Major General KOISO, took away those bombs.
12	THE PRESIDENT: Continue after the recess, Mr.
13	Tavenner.
14	We will recess for fifteen minutes.
15	(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was
16	taken until 1500, after which the proceed-
17	ings were resumed as follows:)
18	admini posse a d ability
19	The state of the second state of the state o
20	describe of the proper tector to great, arter product
21	AMARA a propagator discione, even the Concest State
22	of which you have a marker, that is, the same marker.
23	
24	The second secon
25	

.

Knapp & Kapleau

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

BY MR. TAVENNER (Continued):

Q Colonel HASHIMOTO, is it not a fact that Doctor OKAWA advocated the principle of reformation of the government in Japan in order to settle what he called the Manchurian problem?

A OKAWA never told me anything of that kind.

Q Do you not as a fact know that that is the doctrine he stood for and the doctrine which he preached?

A No.

Q Is it not true that as a result of Doctor OKAWA's stirring up of the people that the dissatisfaction arose to such an extent that the army fell in line with Doctor OKAWA?

A I can hardly believe that OKAWA had such actual power and ability.

O Now, isn't it true that after the dissatisfaction of the people became so great, after Doctor
OKAWA's propaganda missions, even the General Staff,
of which you were a member, that is, the Army General
Staff, began to send out lecturers?

A That is far from the facts, at least during my period of office in the General Staff.

14

16

15

17 18

19

20

21

22

24

You refer in your affidavit to the fact that you made certain suggestions about settling the problem in Manchuria. "That were those suggestions?

A I made these suggestions at the occasion of the conference on the estimate of the situation.

- o "hat was that?
- A April, 1931.
- 9 What were the suggestions?

A At the time in Manchuria repeated incidents were breaking out in which there were threats to Jar nese lives and property. The Japanese residents right to live and to engage in business was threatened and our railway rights were violated. The Japanese Foreign Office only protested once against all these incidents and took the attitude of trying to settle these incidents on the spot, so that finally these incidents mounted up to total three hundred several tens. Thereupon I advocated that it was the duty of the General Staff to strongly urge the government to take a firm line towards the central government of China and to carry out the negotiations with a very firm attitude.

So you were alert to what you considered to be the problems in Manchuria and you were recommending definite and positive action, were you not?

A I said that positive action must be taken in

3

1

5

4

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

21

22

3

5

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

25

some kind of noise. But two or three days later General UGAKI said that he had no such desire, that he was against the whole thing, and thereupon this whole plan fell flat.

Now, it was the purpose, was it not, to stir up this mass demonstration in order that martial law would be declared and the army be put in control of the government?

A As I had nothing to do with the plans after I gave the bombs to Doctor OKA"A, I know nothing about the content of the plans other than what I have stated.

O Had you not gone to Doctor OKAWA yourself and advised him that the upper class of the army was burn-ing with indignation at the Diet and that the Diet should be crushed?

A No, I said no such thing. All "KAWA told me was, "UGAKI seems willing to go on with the thing.

Therefore give me the bombs."

O In other words, you are taking the position that you meekly followed the dictates of Doctor OKA"A? Is that your contention?

A No, the simple matter is that OKA"A thought up this plan and I agreed to the plan, and I gave him the bombs in accordance with that agreement; but after having given him those bombs I had nothing further to

5

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25 do with the plan, and therefore I don't know anything more than that, and I don't think that the plan ever . involved such a big thing as having the army called. out.

- "as Lieutenant General NINOMIYA consulted about the matter?
- I believe that General NINOMIY, did know about the plan.
 - ? "hat was his official position?
 - A Vice Chief of the General Staff.
- "asn't Major General TATEKA"A consulted about these matters and was he not a party to this scheme?
- I don't know whether l'ajor General TATEKAWA was actually a party to this scheme. However, Doctor OKA"A asked me for the paper bombs. I had very great difficulty in finding these bombs and so I consulted with Major General TATEKAWA about this problem, whereupon General TATEKAWA said, "Well, then, I will give you a letter of introduction to the Commandant of the infantry school, and thereupon I went to the infantry school with this letter of introduction and obtained the bombs. That is the extent of Major General TATEKAWA's participation in this plan. That is what I said.
- What position did Major General TATEKAWA have in the army -- in the government?

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-	A Chief of the First Departm
	Department.
	O That did the First Departm
	A Operations.
	O Now, wasn't Major General
	volved?
	A No.
	O So you say this was a very
	do you not agree that the very top
	cers of the Japanese army were invo
	A Of course the problem is t
	how far would be meant by the term
	don't think you can say it went as
	upper crust of the army was involve
	For instance, of course th
	To instance, or course of

ent, General Staff

- ent deal with? ...
- NAGATA also in-
- small affair, but notch ranking offilved in it?

he question of just "involved"; but I far as that the d.

en the bombs were taken away from me by a certain officer and another one wrote me a letter of introduction when I had trouble in obtaining the bombs, but that is about as far as the matter went, and I don't think you can say they were connected with the incident.

- O Who was this certain officer who took away the bombs?
 - A Are you asking who I obtained the bombs from?
- You just mentioned that a certain officer took the bombs away. To whom did you refer?

the army."

1	A General KOISO took the bombs which I had given
6.2	to OKAWA.
3	Q That is the accused General KOISO?
4	A Yes.
5	nhat official position did he have at that
6	time?
7	A Chief of the Military Affairs Bureau.
3	Are you familiar with the testimony of Doctor
9	OKAWA at his trial in 1934 regarding the part Lieutenant
0	General KOISO played in this affair?
1	A Could you quote from the testimony?
2	O "This Lieutenant General KOISO, taking charge
3	of everything, told me that since there would be the
4	danger of being discovered if too many fussed about it,
5 .	we should pretend to have suspended it on the surface,
6	and that I should represent the civilians and that he
7	would represent the army."
8	Do you recall that?
9	A No, I do not, and I do not believe that those
0	were the facts. I don't believe that such things oc-
2	cured.
3	Q Doctor OKAWA also said, quoting from page
4	15,583 of the transcript, "Our idea was to set up a

new political power and form a cabinet centering around

Did you hear him testify to that fact in 1 court? No. 3 THE PRESIDENT: Were you present at OKAWA's 4 trial? 5 THE INTERPRETER: Before the witness answered: 6 "To set up UGAKI as Prime Finister." 7 THE WITNESS: No, I was not present at such a 8 trial. 9 Now, who was the originator of the October 10 Incident which occurred just a short time after the 11 Manchurian Incident? 12 A Myself. 13 O Did Doctor OKAWA assist you? 14 15 A No. 16 You state that when Doctor OKAWA testified in 17 his trial in 1934 that he participated in it, he did 18 not? 19 A He did not participate. In the March Incident 20 OKAWA and I were co-conspirators. However, I began to 21 feel that if civilians were let in on plots such as 22 these, matters were always apt to leak out somewhere

or another and that it would become very difficult to

decision not to let any civilians at all in on our plans.

carry out such a plot, and therefore we came to the

23

In the March Incident OKAWA and I were out together. THE MONITOR: Strike out "co-conspirators." -MR. TAVENNER: Will the Parshal hand to the witness exhibit 2188? ("hereupon, a document was handed to the witness.)

Greenberg & Barton

Q Before I ask you questions about that exhibit, I would like to ask you what official positions you held with the Sakura Kai Society?

A The Sakura Kai had no chairman, and the leading members were called sponsors. However, the fact of the matter was that I practically was running the whole show.

Q Well, how long did you run the show; from what date to what date?

A It was in October -- around October of 1930 that the Sakura Kai commenced its researches. Around that time this organization had no name -- was not called the Sakura Kai, and it was in April of 1931 that the name Sakura Kai was given.

Q Well, just answer me, please. How long did you operate or control the business of that society?

A From around October, 1930 to the end of November, 1931.

Q What other societies were you a member of at that time -- during that period?

A I belonged to no other society.

Q What was the next society that you organized after November, 1931?

A That was not in 1931. My next society was formed not in 1931 but in 1936, a society which I

10

1

3

4

5

8

9

12

13

15 16

17

19

20

21

23

24

.17

	formed after I had been relegated to the reserves.
	I called this society the Great Japan Youth Party.
	Q Did you belong to any societies between
	November, 1931 and 1936?
	A No, absolutely none.
	Q What was the date in 1936 when you organ-
	ized this society?
	A 17th of October.
. 1	Q Were you the head of it?
	A Yes, as you say.
300	Q How long were you at the head of that
	organization?
	A Until October, 1940.
	Q Did you hold positions in any other so-
	cieties during that period of time, between 1936
	and '40?
	A In October, 1940 this Great Japan Youth
	Society was Youth Party was reorganized as the
	Great Japan Loyalty Society, and I was president
	of this society until September, 1944.
	Q Now, the exhibit that I asked the marshal
	to hand you is an interrogation or excerpts from
	interrogations which were introduced in evidence.
	There will be pointed out to you in the Japanese

text the portion that I desire to call to your

attention. In the English text it appears near the bottom of the first page, and the question is:
"You belong to the Dai Nippon Seki Sei Kai?" I would like to ask you whether or not the questions appearing immediately following that and the answers were made, that is the answers attributed to you, by you. You may examine down to the question:
"Did you have any part in the formation of the Dai Nippon Seinen To?" which will be pointed out to you.

(Whereupon, the witness was shown a place in the document previously handed to him.)

Q (Continuing) Now, will you state whether or not you made the answers attributed to you there.

A There is one very big mistake -- big error in this text. This is on page 2 -- page 4 of the Japanese text. The question is: "The way it stands, you admit plotting" and so forth, and so forth, but the relevant part is, "...but you deny any knowledge or complicity in the 2/26 affair?"

My reply is said to be, "Yes, that is correct."

What I meant there was that I had nothing to do, no knowledge or complicity in the 2/26 affair. The question -- the first part of the question, however,

4 5

"The way it stands, you admit plotting with General TATEKAWA, General KOISO, General NINOMIYA, General SUGIYAMA and Dr. OKAWA to bring about the March Incident and to bring about the October incident"; and when my answer is taken in conjunction with the question as a whole, it would seem as if I am accusing General KOISO, TATEKAWA, NINO-MIYA and SUGIYAMA of plotting with me in this October incident, but I had no such intention. The reason why this is so is that you have just extracted this question by itself. But, if you will read the pages before this question -- the questions before this question, you will find that a question is asked, who was connected with the October incident? And then, immediately this question follows -correction: with the March and October incidents -and, therefore, it follows that Generals TATEKAWA, KOISO, NINOMIYA, SUGIYAMA and Dr. OKAWA had nothing to do with the October incident. The October incident involves myself and myself alone.

Q Well, now, subject to that explanation by you, are the questions as attributed to you correct? I meant to say, are the answers attributed to you correct?

A They are correct.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

21 22

18

19

20

23 24

3

4

6

7

9

11

12

14

16

17 18

19

20

22

24 25 MR. TAVENNER: Now, if the Tribunal please, I would like to read those questions and answers although they already appear in the transcript.

THE PRESIDENT: Do not read them again.

MR. TAVENNER: Then, if I may be permitted,
I would like to describe the place in the record a
little more adequately so that the Tribunal will
have no difficulty locating them.

THE PRESIDENT: We prevented Colonel Warren from reading a part of the transcript this morning. We must be fair.

MR. TAVENNER: These questions and answers begin with the fourth line from the top of page 15,676 of the transcript and extend to the line which is the 7th from the bottom of the following page -- to and including the 7th line, if you accept the correction.

BY Mr. TAVENNER (Continued):

Q Now, the answers which you have just told me are correct are answers made by you and are truthful, are they not?

A They are correct.

Q I will now call your attention to another excerpt from the same document which will be pointed out to you with reference to the shelling of the

4

3

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

21

23

24

"Ladybird." In the English text the question begins, "Under whose orders did you act"
Will you examine that question and answer and state whether or not the answer attributed to you is true and correct.

A Are you referring to only one question and answer, in other words, to the answer to the question, "Under whose orders did you act when you shelled the Ladybird?"?

Q Yes, that is the question.

A The phraseology may be somewhat different. However, there is no mistake in the meaning.

Q Then do you mean that the meaning as contained in that answer is correctly descriptive of the matter?

A There is no mistake. However, it is on the understanding that you are referring simply to this one question and answer -- "Under whose orders did you act when you shelled the Ladybird?"

Q I am referring to that question and the answer to it.

A Yes.

Q Is there anything that you desire to add to complete the information regarding your answer to that question?

A May I?

Q I understood you to state that the translation may not have been a completely accurate translation. I am merely giving you the opportunity to make it correct if there is any error in any respect.

A Thank you. In the order itself it is stated, "Nanking being in a state of siege ..."

This phrase is wrong. This should be corrected as follows: "Our troops are now attacking Nanking."

That is all.

MR. TAVENNER: The reference, if the Tribunal please, to that excerpt, is on page 15,678 of the transcript beginning four lines from the bottom and extending over four lines on the top of the following page.

Q I desire also to point out questions and answers appearing at page 15,675 of the transcript beginning with the words "Do you know anything about the Greater East Asia Co-Prosperity Sphere?" and extending down to the question, "You belong to the Dai Nippon Seki Sei Kai?"

(Whereupon, the witness was shown a place in the document before him.)

Q (Continuing) The questions and answers in

5 4

6

7

9

10

12

14

13

15

17

18

20

21

23

25

the Japanese text are being presented to you.

A Thank you. I have gotten the place.

Q Did you make the answers attributed to you there: The last question, "You wanted to get the British out of this sphere?"; answer, "Yes."?

A In reference to that question and answer, I did not know exactly what the prosecutor meant when he said, "You wanted to get the British out of this sphere?"; but, since I didn't want to take the bother of asking him, I just got impatient and replied, "Yes."

Q Well, let me ask you now: Did you want to get the British out of that sphere, that is, out of India, the Philippines, China, Burma and Asia?

A I wished to get the British out of political domination of those areas.

Q By political domination you mean to expel them by force, don't you?

A There may be occasions when force might be necessary. However, I was hoping that it would not -- such an occasion would not arise. I felt it would be fortunate if they would get out without the use of force, but I did not -- I was not thinking at the time of Japan taking any immediate action -- immediately declaring war in order to get the British out by force.

2 3

1

6

9

8

10

11

12

14

16

17

19

21

22

24

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Q You did advocate it a little later, though, did you not?

A The point of my argument in my writings was that the China Incident was still unsettled and the reason why the China Incident was still un-

the reason why the China Incident was still unsettled was that Britain was backing China up; and, therefore, unless accounts were settled with Britain,

the China Incident would never be settled.

Q And you advocated an attack upon the British and driving them out of Asia completely, did
you not, and you preached that doctrine throughout
Japan by publications which were the official publications of your organizations, did you not?

A I preached that doctrine to the members of my society.

Q And the members of your societies aggregated twenty thousand people?

A Yes.

Q And then they were republished in booklet form and distributed, were they not?

A The books published by myself consist of articles which were originally published in the Taiyo Dai Nippon, the official bulletin of this society.

Q So you republished to the general public

S.



these articles which you say received only limited circulation among your twenty thousand members when it was first written.

THE PRESIDENT: We will adjourn now until half-past nine tomorrow morning.

(Whereupon, at 1600, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 19 September, 1947, at 0930.)

,